Evaluation of antiviral activity of honeybee venom on DNA and RNA virus models
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ABSTRACT

The virucidal activity (direct action) of bee venom on Herpes simplex virus type-1(HSV-1) and Adenovirus type -7(adeno-7) as a DNA virus models studies revealed that there was no significant decrease in HSV-1 infectivity titer after 3, 6 and 24 hours of treatments (P>0.05) while 48 hours later a significant viral depletion rate was recorded in the order of 1 log (10)/0.1 ml was detected. Also, the virucidal activity on Adeno-7 virus model showed no change after insignificance 3 hrs of bee venom treatment. There was a significant depletion rate of virus infectivity titer 6 and 24 hrs post treatment recording 3.7 log (10)/0.1 ml and 2.75 log (10)/0.1 ml respectively. Also, the evaluation of virucidal activity of bee venom against RNA model virus of West Nile virus (WNV) revealed that there was a significant decreased in WNV infectivity titer post thermal treatment incubation at 37°C for 3, 6, and 24 and 48 hours post treatment with venom recording 5.1 log (10)/0.1 ml, 4.5 log (10)/0.1 ml, 2.1 log (10)/0.1 ml and 0.55 log (10)/0.1 ml respectively. The antiviral activity of bee venom of HSV-1 as a DNA model virus showed that there was a non significant decrease in the infectivity titer post thermal treatment. In the mean time, the antiviral activity of bee venom against Adeno virus sero-type -7 revealed that there was a non significant decrease in Adeno–7 titer 3, 6 and 24 hours post incubation at 37°C. Also, evaluation of the antiviral activity of bee venom against RNA model virus West Nile Virus revealed that there was a non significant decrease in West Nile Virus titer after incubation period of 3, 6 and 24 hours post treatment at 37°C.

Antiviral activity of bee venom was also compared with that of the standard antiviral drug; interferon α -2 a (IFN - α 2a). HSV-1 was insignificantly decreased recording 5.5 log (10)/0.1 ml in the bee venom treated cells compared to significant decrease when treated with (IFN - α 2a) recording 3.2 log (10)/0.1 ml. In the mean time antiviral activity against adenovirus-7 recorded a significant decrease in virus infectivity titer recording 3.4 log (10)/0.1 ml post bee venom compared with 3.25 log (10)/0.1 ml post IFN treatment which mean that the in vitro studies of the effect of standard IFN used was the same as bee venom on the Adeno-7 virus. The RNA model virus ;WNV was well influenced by bee venom than IFN where the virus infectivity titer recorded 1 log (10) /0.1 ml post bee venom treatment compared with 2.5 log (10)/0.1 ml virus infectivity titer post IFN treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The major components of honey bee venom-mellitin, phospholipase A2, and hyaluronidase-appear to be present in similar concentrations in both European and Africanized bee venom (Schumacher, 1993) The most important component is the peptide mellitin, which comprises approximately 50% of bee venom (McKenna, 1993). Bee venom has antibacterial, antiparasitidal, and antiviral properties
Secreted phospholipases A2 (PLA2) from bee and snake venoms have potent anti-human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) activities. These PLA2s block HIV-1 entry into host cells through a mechanism linked to PLA2 binding to cells (Fenard et al., 2001).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1- Colonization of honey bee:

The colonization was conducted at the apiaries of Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for one year to produce the honey bee venom used in this work.

2- Extraction of honey bee venom:

According to Mraz, (1983) the electrical shock method has been used to stimulate the bees to sting. The collector frame placed at the entrance of the hive and connected to a device which supplies electrical impulses, when bees receive a mild electric shock up 33 volts; They sting the surface of the collector sheet as they see this to be the source of danger. The deposited venom between the glass and the protective material was dried then later scrapped off by a razor blade and collected in a dark bottle in a powder form.

3- Preparation of the Vero cell line:

Vero cell line, derived from the kidney of a normal, adult, African green monkey, (American tissue culture collection, USA, supplied by tissue culture department, VACSER) was grown in MEM EARLES medium supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum (FCS), GEPCO, USA, 100 μg/ml penicillin and 10 μg/ml streptomycin. Fully grown monolayer was selected and the cells were trypsinized (Trypsin 0.25%, Sigma, USA). Cell suspension was dispensed as 100 μl/well using automatic micropipette 20-200 μl (Eppendorph – Germany) in 96 well tissue culture plates (gamma sterilized, tissue culture treated, TPP, made in Europe/ SWITZELAND). Then plates were incubated at 37 °C (Double door incubator. Jouan, France) till confluent sheet was microscopically detected using inverted microscope (Willovert, Germany).

4- Determination of model viruses infectivity titer:

After discarding of the growth media (MEM EARLES medium supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum FCS, GEPCO, USA, 100 μg/ml penicillin and 10 μg/ml streptomycin) from the 96 well tissue culture plates, inoculated using 10 fold dilution of virus models: - (West Nile virus as RNA model and human Adenovirus sero-type 7(adeno-7) and Herpes simplex virus type I (HSV-1) as a DNA models) supplied by Dr. Aly Fahmy, virology sector, rabies research unit, VACSER), 100 μl/well and sealed with sterile sealer (Flow laboratories, USA) then incubated at 37°C. Plates were incubated for 7 days with daily microscopic examination for the detection of cellular degeneration (cytopathic effect CPE). Viral infectivity titer was evaluated according to the method adopted by (Reed and Muench, 1938).

5- Virucidal activity

Virucidal activity of bee venom was conducted according to Aoki and Messiha, (1999) where 0.5 ml of the virus was mixed with 0.5 ml of bee venom solution(100 μg/ml) virus control set was included where the virus was mixed with bee venom free medium, both sets were incubated at 37°C for 3, 6 and 24 hours. The mean virus titers were determined in a duplicate post time intervals using cell culture inoculation assay (CCIA) or the CCID₅₀ as previous. The inhibitory effect of bee
venom was determined by using the probability associated with a Student's t-Test. The virus titer depletion was expressed as X log (10)/0.1ml.

6- Antiviral Activity

Antiviral activity of bee venom on West Nile virus (WNV), Adenovirus type 7 (adeno-7) and Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV – I) was determined to evaluate the infectivity titer in Vero cells According to Aoki and Messiha, (1999) where confluent monolayer of Vero cells in 96–well tissue culture plates (TPP-Swiss), were divided into two sets, the first was treated with the safe concentration of bee venom (adding 100 µl/well) then incubated at 37°C at the following intervals; 3, 6 and 24 hours and the other set was treated with bee venom free media and incubated for the same intervals as a negative cell control, then media of the two sets were discarded after each incubation interval and inoculated at 37°C with the different virus models. CCID50 was determined according to the method adopted by Reed and Muench, (1938).

7- Comparison of the Antiviral Activity of Bee Venom with Interferon

Vero Cells at a concentration of 2x10^5 cells/ml were maintained to confluence in 96 well cell culture plates. Four rows of the cultured cells were treated with 100 IU/well of interferon (peginteron 80 mg/0.5 (800.000 IU/mg), Shering-Plough, USA) and the rest of the plate was supplemented with interferon (IFN) free media. Plates were incubated for 24 hrs. at 37°C. The media was discarded and wells were inoculated with 10 fold serially diluted virus models (WNV, Adeno-7 and HSV–I) and incubated at 37°C for 7 days. A 96 well cell culture plate for each virus was used according to (Denizot and Lang, 1986). CCID50 was determined according to the method adopted by (Reed and Muench, 1938). Antiviral activity of bee venom which achieved according to (Chatis and Crumpacker, 1992) was compared to the method described by (Denizot and Lang, 1986) where, the difference between the mean virus infectivity titer inoculated on INF treated and non-treated cells as a negative control was compared to the difference between the mean virus infectivity titer detected post inoculation of bee venom treated and non-treated cells. The virus titer depletion was expressed as X log (10)/0.1ml.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1) Virucidal Activity

Virucidal activity of bee venom against Herpes simplex virus type 1(HSV-1) as a DNA model virus was carried out concerning the time interval of virus – venom exposure and it was clear that there was no significant decrease in HSV-1 infectivity titer 3 hours post treatment recording 6.75 log (10)/0.1 ml compared with the non-treated virus control infectivity titer recording 6.8 log (10)/0.1ml. Also, the virus infectivity titer recorded 6 hours post treatment was 6.71 log (10)/0.1 ml and the set virus control infectivity titer was 6.79 log (10). In the same time the virus infectivity titer 24 hours post treatment was 6.0 log (10)/0.1 ml and the non-treated virus infectivity titer was 6.5 log (10)/0.1 ml. The significant decrease in the HSV-1 infectivity titer was detected 48 hours post treatment and the titer recording 1.0 log (10) table (1) and figure (1).

Also, virucidal activity of bee venom against Adeno virus serotype 7 (Adeno-7) as another available model DNA virus was carried out concerning the time interval of virus – venom exposure and it was clear that there was no significant decrease in Adenovirus-7 infectivity titer 3 hours post treatment recording 4.75 log (10)/0.1 ml
compared with the non-treated virus control infectivity titer recording 5.1log (10)/0.1ml (P<0.05).

Table (1) Evaluation of the mean virucidal activity of bee venom against HSV-1 Using cell culture inoculation assay (CCIA) compared to virus control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post treatment / hour</th>
<th>Virus control titers log (10)/0.1ml Mean</th>
<th>Bee venom treated virus log (10)/0.1ml Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)
p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)

Also, a significant depletion rate of virus infectivity titer was noticed, as the virus infectivity titer recording 3.7 log (10)/0.1 ml 6 hours post treatment compared with the non-treated virus control infectivity titer recording 4.8 log (10); (P <0.05). In the same time a significant depletion rate of virus infectivity titer was recorded 24 hours post treatment recording 2.75 log (10)/0.1 ml, table (2) and figure (2).

Evaluation of the virucidal activity of bee venom against RNA model virus; West Nile virus (WNV) was carried out concerning the time interval of virus – venom exposure and it was clear that there was a significant depletion in West Nile virus...
infectivity titer 3 hours post treatment recording 5.1 log (10)/0.1 ml compared with the non-treated virus control infectivity titer recording 6.7 log (10)/0.1ml (P<0.05).

Table (2) Evaluation of the mean virucidal activity of bee venom against Adenovirus-7 Using cell culture inoculation assay (CCIA) compared to virus control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post treatment / hour</th>
<th>Virus control titers log(10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Bee venom treated virus log(10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)
p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)

Also, a significant depletion rate of virus infectivity titer was noticed, as the virus infectivity titer recorded 6 hours post treatment was 4.5 log (10)/0.1 ml compared with the non-treated virus control infectivity titer recording 6.1 log (10); (P <0.05). In the same time a significant depletion rate of virus infectivity titer recorded 24 hours post treatment was 2.1 log (10)/0.1 ml and that of the non-treated virus infectivity titer was 3.14 log (10)/0.1 ml ; (P <0.05) table (3) and figure (3).

These results were in agreement with Filotti et al., (1967) who stated that the presence of virucidal activity on or inactivation of rabies virus by bee venom was noticed 24 hours post treatment. Also, Lawrence and Skalka, (1980) stated that the melittin compound can make the viral envelope permeable, that make it lose of its infectivity.
De-Clercq et al., (2000) proved that bee venom melittin inactivates HIV and also aborts cell-to-cell fusion and transmission of HIV, due to its high-affinity interaction with gp120 and Mitsuishi et al., (2006) indicated that the direct addition of bee venom phospholipase A2 to 293A cells suppressed adenovirus plaque formation in both number and size.

Table (3) Evaluation of the mean virucidal activity of bee venom against WNV Using cell culture inoculation assay (CCIA) compared to virus control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post treatment per hour</th>
<th>Virus control titers log(10)/0.1ml Mean</th>
<th>Bee venom treated virus log (10)/0.1ml Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)
*p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)

Figure (3) Evaluation of antiviral activity of bee venom on HSV-1 compared to untreated virus in non treated Vero Cell line

2) Antiviral Activity:-

Antiviral activity was carried out according to Aoki and Messiha, (1999), where two DNA virus models were used; Herpes simplex virus type -1 (HSV-1) and Adenovirus serotype 7(Adeno-7). The infectivity titer of bee venom treated virus for 3 hrs at 37°C was non significantly influenced (p>0.05) recording a depletion rate of virus infectivity titer where the virus titer of bee venom treated and non treated was 6.8 log (10) /0.1 ml and 6.85 log (10)/0.1ml respectively. Also, a non significant depleted infectivity titer was noticed 6 hrs post bee venom treatment compared with bee venom nontreated virus as a control recording 6.1 log (10)/0.1 ml and 6.77 log (10) /0.1 ml respectively (p>0.05). Also a non-significant decreased virus infectivity titer was recorded post 24 hrs treatments where the bee venom treated and non treated...
virus infectivity titer was 5.7 log (10)/01 ml and 6.5 log (10)/0.1 ml respectively (P>0.05). Table (4) and figure (4).

Table (4) Antiviral activity of bee venom on Herpes simplex virus type-1 Herpes simplex virus type-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post treatment per hour</th>
<th>virus control titers log (10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Bee venom treated virus log (10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>6.80 6.90</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>6.80 6.88</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.85 6.85</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>7.00 6.60</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.20 7.34</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.00 6.20</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.60 6.40</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.30 5.10</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)
p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)

The antiviral activity was achieved using Adenovirus serotype 7 was used as a 2nd DNA model virus where the infectivity showed no change relatively to the time of exposure to bee venom at 37°C where the virus infectivity titer either bee venom treated and non treated showed the same value recording 6.5 log (10)/0.1 ml 3 hours post treatment. While, 6 hours post treatment the virus infectivity titer was 5.15 log (10) and 5.25 log (10) for virus bee venom treated and non treated respectively. In the same time virus infectivity titer detected 24 hrs post treatment revealed that the virus infectivity titer was 5.5 log (10)/0.1 ml and 5.6 log (10)/0.1 ml for control non-treated virus indicating a non significant decrease in virus titer. Table (5) and figure (5).
Table (5) Antiviral activity of Bee venom on Adenovirus serotype 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post treatment per hour</th>
<th>virus control titer s log10/ 0.1 ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Bee venom treated virus titer log10/ 0.1 ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)
p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)

The antiviral activity testing of west Nile virus revealed that virus infectivity titer showed non significant change in case of evaluation of bee venom treated and non – treated virus recording infectivity titer was 3 log (10) 0.1ml 4.5 log (10) 0.1ml respectively post bee venom treatment at 37c and 4.5 log (10) 0.1ml in case of bee venom non treated virus table (6) and figure (6).

These results were not coinciding with the data recorded by Baier et al., (2000); they recorded that nasal application of lipopeptide increased protection against a lethal infection of influenza. Also, Masuda et al., (2005) explained that the antiviral effect of the bee venom phospholipase A2 depends essentially on its ability to hydrolyze phospholipids in host cell membranes Also proved that Bee venom...
melittin inactivates HIV and also aborts cell-to-cell fusion and transmission of HIV, due to its high-affinity interaction with gp120.

Table (6) Antiviral activity of Bee venom on West Nile Virus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post treatment per hour</th>
<th>Virus control titers log (10)/0.1ml Mean</th>
<th>Bee venom treated virus log (10)/0.1ml Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)

p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)

3) COMPARISON OF THE ANTIVIRAL ACTIVITY OF BEE VENOM WITH THAT OF INTERFERON

Antiviral activity of bee venom was compared with that of the standard antiviral drug; interferon -2 a (IFN - 2a). The first DNA model virus; HSV-1 was of infectivity titer recording 5.5 log (10)/0.1 ml in the bee venom treated cells compared to the virus control infectivity titer on bee venom non treated cells 5.9 log (10)/0.1 ml recording a difference in the order of 0.4 log (10)/0.1 ml, revealing a non significant depletion of virus infectivity titer (P>0.5). While the virus infectivity titer showed a significant decrease in recording 3.2 log (10)/0.1 ml (P<0.05). Table (7).
Table (7) Comparison of the antiviral activity of Bee venom with that of interferon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus model</th>
<th>virus control log(10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>interferon treated virus log(10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Depletion titer log(10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>bee venom treated virus log(10)/0.1ml</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Depletion titer log(10)/0.1ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herpes simplex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>virus type 1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serotype 7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Nile Virus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<0.05 by Student’s t-test (significant)
p>0.05 by Student’s t-test (non significant)

This data revealed the priority of antiviral activity of IFN than bee venom in the viral infection treatment and resemblance to that reported by Costa da Cunha et al. (1996) who approved that Interferon alpha (IFN-α) has been successfully used in treatment of various clinical forms of Kaposi's sarcoma KS, including AIDS-KS and C-KS, those were associated with (HHV-8) infections. In the mean time antiviral activity against adenovirus-7 revealed a decrease of viral infectivity titer in the order of 3.4 log (10)/0.1 ml post bee venom compared with the virus control infectivity titer; 6.6 log (10)/0.1 ml recording a depletion of infectivity titer in the order of 3.2 log (10)/0.1 ml. While use of IFN showed a titer of 3.25 log (10)/0.1 ml post IFN treatment compared with the virus control infectivity titer; 6.6 log (10)/0.1 ml recording a depletion of infectivity titer in the order of 3.35 log (10)/0.1 ml. This means that in vitro studies indicate the almost the resemblance of the effect of standard IFN and bee venom on the Adenovirus-7. The recorded data were in parallel to those recorded by Samuel, (2001) who reported that Interferon-alpha (IFN-a) has broad antiviral activity in vitro and immunostimulatory effects in vivo.

West Nile virus (WNV) as RNA model virus was well influenced by bee venom better than IFN where the virus infectivity titer recorded post bee venom treatment was 1 log (10)/0.1 ml and revealing a depletion rate in the order of 3.1 log (10)/0.1 ml in comparison to the virus control titer. While, the infectivity titer post IFN treatment was 2.5 log (10)/0.1 ml revealing a depletion rate in the order of 1.6 log (10)/0.1 ml than the virus control titer 4.1 log (10)/0.1 ml table (7) Anderson and Rahal, (2002) reported that interferon-alpha has been considered to be a possible therapy for flaviviral encephalitis. Interferon-alpha has been shown to have in vitro activity against West Nile virus in a Vero cell model. Also, Fahmy and Shoman, (2006) reported that the therapeutic role of recombinant human interferon alpha (rh-IFN-α) was evaluated to control rift valley fever (RVF), and they concluded that IFN could be used to share and integrate the control program of RVF viral infection.
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RABIC SUMMARY

دراسة بيولوجية لتقييم قدرة سم النحل المضادة للفيروسات باستخدام نماذج من فيروسات ريبيوزية

روزيا حسن رمضان 1 - علي فهمي محمد 2 - محمد صلاح عبد الدايم 2

1- كلية العلوم جامعة بابل
2- الشركة القابضة للمستحضرات الحيوية واللقاحات (فاكسيرا)

أخبرت الدراسة الحالية أن تركيز سم النحل الآمن والذي يعطي 100% حماية هو 0.4

ميكروجرام/مل. وأن النشاط المثبط المباشر لسم النحل على فيروس هيرس سيمكاس -1 كانت أكبر

التشبهية في ماء دلاله. بعد توصيات تحضير فيروس مع سم النحل 3 و 6 و 24 ساعه عند درجة

حرارة 37 درجة مئوية وكان النشاط المثبط للدالله الإحصائي بعد فترة تحضير 48 ساعه للفيروس مسجل هبوط

بمعدل 10(10)/0.1 ml ودلاله الإحصائية بعد فترة تحضير 3 ساعات بينما التأثير دلاله الإحصائي كان بعد قدرات تحضير مع سم النحل 6 و 24 ساعه مسجل هبوط فيما بعد تfprintf في تركيز الفيروس مع مسجل هبوط أوقت ما على التآثر دلاله الإحصائي و ذلك بالقارنة بتركيز عينة الفيروس الاشعة،

وكلما أثبتت الدراسة نفس التأثير على الفيروس أنوين- 7. و عند تمديد النشاط سم النحل المضاد للفيروس غرب

النيل عند أنه بعد عرضة الدلاله للتركيز الآمن لسم النحل وتحضيرها لفترات 3 و 6 و 24 ساعه وجد أن هناك

هبوط في تركيز الفيروس بشكل لا يذكر للدالله إحصائي بخارف على تعزية الفيروس الكبيرة.

كما زادت الدراسة فيما بعد على مقارنة نشاط سم النحل المضاد فيما بصرة

بيولوجيا وانظر لالة الإحصائي لسماك السماك (الإنترفيروس الفا-1). وقد وجد أن هناك

هبوط في تركيز فيروس سيمكاس والدلاله لوبند في تركيز الفيروس بعد عرضة الدلاله ببناء

الإنترفيروس والدلاله لوبند في تركيز الفيروس بعد عرضة الدلاله بشكل ذو دلاله

الإحصائي من 5.5 log (10)/0.1 ml و3.2 log (10)/0.1 ml و2.75 log (10)/0.1 ml و 3.7 log (10)/0.1 ml و 2.5 log (10)/0.1 ml و 2.1 log (10)/0.1 ml و 4.5 log (10)/0.1 ml و 5.1 log (10)/0.1 ml و 4.3 log (10)/0.1 ml و 3.4 log (10)/0.1 ml و 3.2 log (10)/0.1 ml و 2.5 log (10)/0.1 ml و 2.3 log (10)/0.1 ml و 2.1 log (10)/0.1 ml و 2.0 log (10)/0.1 ml و 1.9 log (10)/0.1 ml ويؤدي ذلك إلى

الإنترفيروس والهبوط في تركيز الفيروس بعد كلا المعالجين كان ذو دلاله إحصائية بخارف عينة

الكابحة بينما الفق فيهما في تركيز الفيروس لم يكن ذاله إحصائي. وعند إجراء هذه الدراسة على فيروس

غريب النيل أظهرت الدراسة عن وجود تثبيت في تركيز الفيروس عند عرضة الدلاله مسجل هبوط بعد

10.0 وجدت أن كلا المعالجين كان ذو دلاله إحصائية بخارف عينة الكابحة. ومع ذلك أيضًا أن التضعيف في حالة

العلاقة بين سم النحل كان أكثر من في حالة المعالجة بالإنترفيروس. و تشكل عام من خلال الدراسة تثبت أن سم

النحل له تأثير مباشر لسم النحل الدومبيكي فيفيروسيوسي و الريبوبتوبودينية مما يعني أن سم النحل

كمضادات الفيروسات في حالة أن أزيد من الدراسات المستقبلية